

# Inverse Fourier Transform, A Justification

Let  $n = 2^k$ ,  $\omega$  be a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity in  $\mathbb{C}[x]$  and

$$a(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in \mathbb{C}[x].$$

Let  $\mathbf{A} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}]$  and  $\mathbf{W} = [a(1), a(\omega), a(\omega^2), \dots, a(\omega^{n-1})] \in \mathbb{C}^n$  ( $\mathbf{W}$  is the result of the “forward” discrete Fourier transform applied at  $\omega$ ).

An alternate to the DFT would be to compute  $\mathbf{W}$  naively:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{n-1} & \omega^{2(n-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a(1) \\ a(\omega) \\ a(\omega^2) \\ \vdots \\ a(\omega^{n-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_\omega \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{W}$$

which requires  $n^2$  multiplications (way worse than DFT). To be more explicit:

$$\text{DFT}(n, a(x), \omega) \equiv \text{calculating } \mathbf{V}_\omega \mathbf{A}.$$

(Note that  $\mathbf{V}_\omega$  is the Vandermode matrix for  $\omega$ .)

To go in the opposite direction, that is to get  $\mathbf{A}$  if  $\mathbf{W}$  is known, we can just solve the corresponding linear system:  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}_\omega^{-1} \mathbf{W}$ .

**Lemma 1.**

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{n-1} & \omega^{2(n-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega^{-1} & \omega^{-2} & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \\ 1 & \omega^{-2} & \omega^{-4} & \cdots & \omega^{2(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{-(n-1)} & \omega^{-2(n-1)} & \cdots & \omega^{-(n-1)(n-1)} \end{bmatrix} = n \cdot \mathbf{I}$$

that is  $\mathbf{V}_\omega \mathbf{V}_{\omega^{-1}} = n \cdot \mathbf{I}$ .

*Proof.* If we let  $n = 4$  then the product of  $\mathbf{V}_\omega$  and  $\mathbf{V}_{\omega^{-1}}$  looks like:

$$\mathbf{M} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 + \omega^{-1} + \omega^{-2} + \omega^{-3} & 1 + \omega^{-2} + \omega^{-4} + \omega^{-6} & 1 + \omega^{-3} + \omega^{-6} + \omega^{-9} \\ 1 + \omega + \omega^2 + \omega^3 & 4 & 1 + \omega^{-1} + \omega^{-2} + \omega^{-3} & 1 + \omega^{-2} + \omega^{-4} + \omega^{-6} \\ 1 + \omega^2 + \omega^4 + \omega^6 & 1 + \omega + \omega^2 + \omega^3 & 4 & 1 + \omega^{-1} + \omega^{-2} + \omega^{-3} \\ 1 + \omega^3 + \omega^6 + \omega^9 & 1 + \omega^2 + \omega^4 + \omega^6 & 1 + \omega + \omega^2 + \omega^3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

From this it is straight forward to discern the general pattern. For any  $n$ , the polynomials at any diagonal are given by  $1 + \omega^k + \omega^{2k} + \dots + \omega^{(n-1)k} = s(k)$  for  $0 < k < n$ .

As  $s(k)$  is a geometric series in  $\omega^k$

$$s(k) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (\omega^k)^i = \frac{1 - (\omega^k)^n}{1 - \omega^k} = \frac{1 - 1}{1 - \omega^k} = 0.$$

(recall that  $k < n$  so  $1 - \omega^k \neq 0$ ). Therefore we have that  $s(k) = 0$  for all  $0 < k < n$  if  $\omega$  is a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity.

For  $n < k < 0$  recall that  $1/\omega$  is also a primitive  $n$ -th root of unity and apply the same proof.

For  $k = 0$  (diagonal) we have that  $s(0) = n$ , this gives the desired result.  $\square$

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1 is that  $\mathbf{V}_\omega^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{V}_{\omega^{-1}}$ . So, to interpolate  $a(x)$  from  $\mathbf{W}$  we do

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{V}_\omega^{-1}\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n}\mathbf{V}_{\omega^{-1}}\mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{n}\text{DFT}(n, b(x), \omega^{-1})$$

where  $b(x) = \mathbf{W}[1] + \mathbf{W}[2]x + \cdots + \mathbf{W}[n]x^{n-1}$ .